

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

MARCO BERROCAL d/b/a BOURNE
CO.,

Plaintiff,

v.

REFLOOR LLC, JK SQUARED, LLC,
and BRIAN ELIAS,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-10825-RHC-JJCG

STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), the Court hereby enters the following protective order:

1. Confidential Information – Any document or thing that a party reasonably and in good faith believes to contain confidential information that is not publicly available (such as research and development, commercial, or other sensitive information) may be produced by that party with the clear and obvious designation “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.”

2. Non-Disclosure of Confidential Information – Any document or thing designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” may only be used to prosecute or defend this action and shall not be disclosed to (or the content discussed with) anyone other than the following persons:

- a. The named parties in this case, their attorneys, and their support staff (e.g., copying and document management personnel).
- b. Independent experts or consultants engaged by a party's attorneys to assist in the preparation and trial of this case who agree to abide by the terms of this Protective Order by signing Exhibit A and who are approved by the producing party pursuant to paragraph 5 below.
- c. Deposition witnesses whose testimony is being taken with respect to the document or thing, or about the subject matter of the document or thing, who agree to abide by the terms of this Protective Order.
- d. This Court and its staff members.

3. Disclosure to Experts and Consultants – Before any documents, testimony, or other information designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” are disclosed to an independent expert or consultant, the receiving party shall give the producing party ten (10) days written notice of the proposed expert. If the producing party objects to the expert, no designated material or information of the producing party shall be disclosed to the expert or consultant until the issue is resolved by the Court.

4. Deposition Testimony – Any portions of requested testimony, a transcript and/or a brief may be designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” if the party or attorney making the designation reasonably and in good faith believes it will reveal a trade secret or other confidential research and development, commercial, or sensitive information.

5. Motion Practice – All documents, testimony, and information designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” that are submitted to the Court Clerk as part of a motion or other paper shall be filed pursuant to Local Rule 5.3. A redacted copy of the motion or paper may be filed with the Court Clerk through the Court’s electronic filing system and an unredacted copy of the motion or paper may be filed under seal.

An unsealed or unredacted copy of the confidential document, testimony, or information may be used for the judge’s courtesy copy of the motion, but each page containing confidential information shall be marked in such a way that it clearly notifies the Court that the page contains confidential information that was filed pursuant to Local Rule 5.3. The judge’s courtesy copy of the motion shall be sent directly to the Judge’s chambers and not filed with the Court Clerk.

6. Discovery from Third Parties – This Protective Order shall apply to discovery sought from persons or companies who are not parties to this lawsuit.

Third parties may designate information produced under the “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER”.

7. Challenging “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” Designation – Any party that wishes to challenge the designation of any document, thing, or testimony as confidential or highly confidential under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) may do so at any time by way of motion to this Court. The designating party shall have the burden of justifying its designation. Before filing any such motion, however, the parties shall first attempt to resolve their disagreement without Court intervention.

8. Trial Testimony – This Protective Order shall not govern proceedings at trial.

9. Termination of Lawsuit – All documents and things designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” and all copies thereof, shall either be returned to the party that produced them upon the final disposition of this action or they may be destroyed with permission of the party that produced them. This provision shall not apply to documents and things the Court determines are not confidential. Outside litigation counsel for each party may keep a copy of all pleadings and other documents filed with the Court for their files.

10. Inadvertent Production of Privileged Material – Any inadvertent production of privilege or work product protected material shall not result in the

waiver of any associated privilege (attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, etc.). However, the disclosure of any particular material shall cease to be “inadvertent” if the receiving party notifies the producing party of the disclosure and the producing party does not request the return of the privileged matter within 10 days.

STIPULATED BY:

Dated: February 3, 2023

**HOWARD & HOWARD
ATTORNEYS PLLC**

By: /s/ Michael F. Wais

Michael F. Wais (P45482)

Jonathan F. Karmo (P76768)

*Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-
Plaintiffs, Refloor LLC and Brian
Elias as to Counterclaim Only*

450 West Fourth Street

Royal Oak, Michigan 48067-2557

Phone: (248) 645-1483

MWais@HowardandHoward.com

JKarmo@HowardandHoward.com

By: Charles W. Wojno

Charles W. Wojno (P46725)

*Attorney for Defendants Refloor LLC
and Brian Elias*

2855 Coolidge Highway, Suite 201

Troy, Michigan 48084-3216

(248) 614-9200

chuck_wojno@staffdefense.com

Respectfully submitted,

**REITLER KAILAS &
ROSENBLATT LLP**

By: s/ Brett Van Benthysen

Paul LiCalsi

Brett Van Benthysen

885 Third Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, NY 10022

Tel: (212) 209-3045

plicalsi@reitlerlaw.com

bvanbenthysen@reitlerlaw.com

Gregory L. Curtner

Matthew Kennison (P79653)

**RILEY SAFER HOLMES &
CANCILA LLP**

121 W. Washington, Suite 402

Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Tel: (734) 773-4910

GCurtner@rshc-law.com

mkennison@rshc-law.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff Marco Berrocal,
d/b/a Bourne Co.*

**YOUNG BASILE HANLON &
MACFARLANE P.C.**

By: s/ Eddie D. Woodworth
Eddie D. Woodworth
3001 West Big Beaver Rd., Suite 624
Troy, MI 48084-3107
248-649-3333
woodworth@youngbasile.com

Counsel for JK Squared, LLC

SO ORDERED

Dated: February 16, 2023

s/Robert H. Cleland
United States District Court Judge

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

MARCO BERROCAL d/b/a BOURNE
CO.,

Plaintiff,

v.

REFLOOR LLC, JK SQUARED, LLC,
and BRIAN ELIAS,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-10825-RHC-JJCG

EXHIBIT A – AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY PROTECTIVE ORDER

I, _____, declare as follows:

1. I have read the Protective Order in the above captioned case.
2. I promise that I will only use the documents and things designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” that are given to me for purposes of this lawsuit.
3. I promise that I will not disclose or discuss information that I learn from documents and things designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” with anyone other than the persons described in the Protective Order.
4. I acknowledge that, by signing this agreement, I am subjecting myself to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan with respect to enforcement of this Protective Order.

5. I understand that any disclosure or use of documents or things designated as “CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or information learned from the documents or things, in any manner contrary to the provisions of the Protective Order may subject me to sanctions for contempt of court.

Date: _____

[Signature]